CIVIL SOCIETY EUROPE

RESCOOP.EU

Webinar: “Match made in Heaven”

Connecting national civil society organisations to advocate for the MFF

Tuesday 20 October 2026



Co-funded by the European Union

With the support of:

Civitates
The European Democracy Fund

Welcome! Before we start, some technicalities

- Please **rename yourself** as **your name - your organisation**
- To set up the **automatic translation**, please click '**More**' near the bottom of the screen, then click on **'Show captions**'. In the bottom bar, please then click on the '^' symbol next to 'Show captions' and toggle '**Translation**' on. You will then be required to select the language you wish to view captions in.
- If you need **technical assistance** at any moment please reach out to **Dylan Power**, communications and outreach officer at CSE.
- We will be **recording today's webinar for internal purposes**, if you do not wish to appear in the recording you can turn off your camera, however it would be nice to see all of you

Structure of the Webinar

- **Welcome and Introduction** - Valeria Linares Barron (CSE)
- **Presentation - MFF State of Play** - Olivier Vardakoulias (CAN Europe)
- **Presentation - The MFF Mobilisation Toolkit & the monthly coordination calls** - Chris Vrettos (Rescoop)
- **Examples of mobilisation at national level** - France, Italy, Slovakia and Ireland
- **Presentation - MFF current mobilisations** - Valeria
- **Breakout groups per region**
- **Harvesting from Breakout Groups**
- **Conclusion and Next steps** - Chris

Introduction and Objective of the Webinar

Valeria Linares Barron

Policy and Campaign Officer at Civil Society Europe

2028-34 MFF negotiations
State of play

Olivier Vardakoulias, October 2026

Outline

- Recap on Commission’s proposal
- Negotiations state of play

Recap on Commission’s proposal

Recap: 2028-34 MFF proposal



Merges 14 previously separated funds inc. CAP, ERDF, EMFAF, ESF+ etc.

Key legislative texts

20 regulations split into 4 headings – most relevant for green transition

- **Multiannual Financial Framework**
- **System of Own Resources**
- **Budget expenditure tracking and performance framework**

- European Fund for economic, social and territorial cohesion, agriculture and rural, fisheries and maritime, prosperity and security *inc. secondary regulations*
- Connecting Europe Facility
- European Competitiveness Fund
- Global Europe

MFF proposal: a “2 trillion” myth



Source: CAN-E calculations based on EC & Eurostat

Composition of the MFF



Lower than current setup

Mobilisation equivalent to about ~ €88 billion / year

Climate investment gap stands at €400 to €900 billion / year

Source: Jacques Delors Institute

Climate & nature architecture of 2028-34 MFF

Green investments

Minimum 35% horizontally *excluding defense & security*

National and Regional Partnership Plans: 43%

European Competitiveness Fund: 43%

Framework programme for research and innovation: 40%

Connecting Europe Facility: 70%

Global Europe Instrument: 30%

+

All budget not harming green objectives

DNSH applies to all relevant funds

DNSH guidance to be developed by EC inc. exclusion list of harmful activities

Several horizontal exemptions

2021-27 budget in comparison

MFF: **30%** for climate + minimum **7.5%** (2024) reaching **10%** (2026-27) for biodiversity

NGEU (RRF): **37%** for all green transition objectives

DNSH scattered: not horizontally applicable per se in all instruments

Result: minimum green spending requirements



Source: CAN-E based on EC & Eurostat

Most important funds for green transition



Source: European Commission

Collateral damage of merging funds

LIFE

- Diluted between EU Facility & Competitiveness fund: no hard earmarking
- Crucial funding for biodiversity, environment and bottom-up activities (e.g., energy communities) are under threat

Just Transition Fund

- No earmarked funds for JT or continuation of TJTPs
- Non-binding / vague references in NRPPs

Negotiations State of Play: Focus on Council

Size: Council cuts, EP asks more



Council – Irish negobox (10 Oct)

- **−Overall budget cuts €141bn (−8%)** vs Commission; **−€108bn** vs Cyprus box
- ≈1.16% of GNI (Commission: 1.26%)
- Cuts hit H2 (−13%) & H3 (−17%); CAP income support & cohesion protected

Parliament

- Interim report (28 Apr): 1.27% of GNI + NGEU repayment above ceilings: **+€175bn**
- Co-rapporteur Mureșan (10 Oct): Irish box “cannot be the starting point”

European Council (15-16 Oct)

- Costa to steer talks to a “timely agreement”; end-2026 deal needed for funding from Jan 2028

Source: CAN-E based on Council ST 10058/26 & 13922/26, EP A10-0105/2026, EUCO 14/26.

Green transition takes a hit



Where the cuts land

- All four ECF windows −13%: no priority for clean transition
- CEF Energy cut deeper than CEF Transport (−20% vs −11%)
- EU Facility −49%: disaster solidarity & home affairs −55%, cushion scrapped; nature & climate line flat

Cut due to size effect

- Estimated to ~50bn less of green spending

Parliament asks (2025 prices)

- ECF €234bn, Horizon €177bn, CEF €81bn, Global Europe €199bn

Source: CAN-E based on Council ST 13922/26 annex (Commission column and Irish box), EP A10-0105/2026.

35% minus defence, no biodiversity

	2021-27	Commission (Jul 2025)	Council (Irish box + Perf. Reg. mandate)
Climate & environment	30% climate (MFF + NGEU)	≥35% single climate & environment target	≥35% “should support” (box ¶24); target bracketed in Council mandate
Carve-outs	None	Defence & security excluded from the base	Defence & security excluded (¶24, ¶37)
Biodiversity	7.5% (2024) → 10% (2026-27)	No target: merged, highest coefficient counts	No target: monitoring only (mandate Art. 4(1))
National plans	RRF: 37% climate	43% climate & environment	“expected to contribute 43%”, excl. defence & security

35% excl. defence ≈ 31-33%

of the whole budget (€100-175bn for defence, on Commission figures)

Coefficients: *box asks for a “proportional” methodology; ~7.6% of the MFF at risk of greenwashing (CAP income support, military mobility, raw materials)*

Biodiversity: *tracked, not targeted: no guaranteed volume for nature (2021-27: 7.5% in 2024, 10% in 2026-27)*

Source: Council ST 13922/26 & ST 11033/26; COM(2025) 545 Art. 4; joint NGO briefing on the Performance Regulation (Mar 2026).

DNSH: Council widens the exemptions

Exempt: “not feasible or appropriate” (Art. 5(3))

- Crisis situations and “other reasons of overriding public interest”
- Defence and security
- Military mobility, now named explicitly
- Migration and border management **COUNCIL ADDED**

Deemed compliant, no assessment (Art. 5(2))

- CAP support under farm stewardship (already in EC proposal)
- Intervention fields “always deemed in line” with DNSH

Weaker safeguards

- EC list of harmful activities that “cannot be financed”: dropped
- Assessment per intervention field, none at operation level
- Guidance by implementing act, under member-state committee

≈ €426bn (26% of MFF) outside standard DNSH checks



Source: Council ST 11033/26 Art. 5, 13; COM(2025) 545 (ST 11739/25); ST 13922/26, €bn 2025 prices.

LIFE: no line in the Council box



LIFE 2021-27: €5.4bn → €0 earmarked by the Council

current prices | EP: €3bn ECF + €2.4bn EU Facility earmarks

Council

- Irish box: no LIFE line, not even as a bracketed option
- ECF mandate (16 Jun): "LIFE activities" should keep LIFE's "essential features" (recital 21a), but no amount
- Clean Transition window −13%; the EU Facility line that must cover nature & climate is flat (€10.4bn) but shared with cities, employment & social innovation, with no earmark

Member states on record

- CZ, BG, HU, IT, LT, LU, ES (Env. Council, Oct 2025)
- AT, IT, LU, ES: LIFE continuity (Env. Council, Jun 2026)

Source: Reg. (EU) 2021/783 Art. 5(1); Council ST 13922/26, ST 10625/26, ST 13842/1/25 REV 1, ST 10548/1/26 REV 1; EP A10-0105/2026.

Looking ahead

- **Council calling the shots on size**: frugals calling for further cuts, friends of cohesion focused on protecting Heading 1 – New Own Resources as a solution?
- **35% target & DNSH don't seem at risk @ Council but** a reduction of size & changes of governments may trigger backlash + no improvements in tracking methodology
- **DNSH:** large exemptions remain, EC draft exclusion list replete with additional exemptions (awaiting for final guidance)
- No significant evolution concerning **dedicated biodiversity target or on LIFE**
- **Some MS vocal** about biodiversity funding and LIFE esp. @ ENV Council

High level MFF architecture doesn't mean national spending plans can't be ambitious

AgoraEU- State of Play

- **Size of the programme:** Irish negobox proposed a 30% cut (from 7.6 bln to 5.3 bln in 2025 prices); but **nothing has been agreed yet** (next European Council meetings in November and December here);
- **Earmarking for the strands:** Irish negobox confirms specific earmarking in AgoraEU for (1)culture; (2)media and (3)democracy, citizens, equality, rights, and values (decided at the General Affairs Council)
- **Content of the programme:** Council (Cultural Affairs Council) already approved its position; Parliament is finalising its position these days in committee (CULT & LIBE), expected to be voted in plenary in November - **crucial to mobilise for a strong CERV+ strand**

MFF Mobilisation Toolkit

Chris Vrettos

Senior Policy Advisor at REScoop.eu



It’s easier if we work together



Monthly MFF national mobilisation group

-> Online space facilitated by REScoop.eu (support by CEE Bankwatch/CAN-EU)

-> Monthly calls (last Friday of the month)

Campaigners from across the EU:

- Share intel (national updates / country positioning)
- Foster networking
- Co-organise actions

Both envi and social organisations are welcome

Some outputs of the group

Who gets a seat at the table? A
participation in the developm
regional partnership plans in



Reforms for the NRPPs

File Edit View Insert Format Data Tools Gemini Extensions Help

Share

A1 Country

	A	B	C
1	**Country**	**Misinformation and Disinformation**	**Energy**
	Overarching / Horizontal Enabling Reforms	The greatest challenge is the spread of misinformation and disinformation, coupled with political resistance to the implementation of necessary measures. The achievement of the EU's goals depends first and foremost on whether people's mindset will be changed. According to the Global Risk Report 2025 of the World Economic Forum https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Global_Risks_Report_2025.pdf, the top global risk is misinformation and disinformation. Awareness of the causes of climate change and the necessary mitigation and adaptation measures is often extremely low among both the population and decision-makers. This situation is also conspicuously reflected in Europe, where the rise of populist forces – either denying that climate change is caused by humans or, more often, opposing the necessary measures with false arguments – has become increasingly visible. The European Commission is also aware of the massive threat caused by misinformation, disinformation and lack of information. The Code of Conduct on Disinformation, accessible on the Commission's website https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/library/code-conduct-disinformation, provides a	Member States shall reform residential energy efficiency and renovation funding schemes to ensure and de distribution of national and EU funding reaches low-income and energy-poor households in priority and at le proportionally as prescribed in Article 17(18) EPBD and Article 8 and 24 EED. Reforms shall replace regressive funding structures that disproportionately benefit higher-income household targeted financing cascade based on income and wealth: • 100% upfront financing for low-income and energy-poor households through grants, public renovation public-to-business delivery models. • Sliding-scale grants and concessional finance for middle-income households. • Private finance and risk-sharing instruments as the default option for higher-income households. Public grants shall be reserved for market segments where affordability barriers prevent investment. Suppor able to finance renovations through savings or commercial credit shall progressively shift towards financial i crowd in private capital. This reform increases the cost-effectiveness of public expenditure, maximises investment additionality, avoi private finance, and directs scarce public resources to market segments where private capital is not reachin despite high economic and energy-saving returns. By targeting investments where they generate the greate productivity and health benefits, the reform strengthens the competitiveness impact of each euro of EU fund Reference: EPBD article 17.18. EED Article 8 and 24



Next step for January 2027: Building an NRPP Tracker!

Launch in January 2027

Will you be working on the NRPP at national level?

Want to join the mobilisation group AND/OR the Tracker?



e-mail chris.vrettos@rescoop.eu

Civil Society Mobilisation Toolkit

Concrete tips

- Template letters
- Narrative points (particularly for frugals)
- Lists of contacts



MFF Mobilisation in France

Caroline François-Marsal & Cecile Gueguen

Réseau Action Climat & Énergie partagée

MFF Mobilisation in Italy

Francesca Canali

MIRA Network & ECCO think tank

FACCIAMO LUCE SUI FONDI PUBBLICI PER RENDERLI PIÙ GIUSTI

State of play at the Italian level

- **Until a few months ago**, Italy's position was unclear
- **Recently**, some public statements by Meloni ahead of EUCO meetings
  - **Top priorities**: CAP, cohesion policy (*Italy is a leading voice of the Friends of Cohesion coalition*), fisheries
  - **Climate and environment**: *some good, some bad, some unclear*
    - No public position on the 35% green spending target, but scepticism
    - Opposition to the DNSH: IT abstained on the Performance Regulation in June, reiterated its position in October
    - Support for keeping LIFE as a stand-alone programme (joint note with ES, AT, LU at the June Environment Council)
  - "No deal at any cost" ahead of 15 Oct. EUCO, Meloni said they will sign only a deal that is right, not one rushed to meet any deadline

Context and mobilisation

- **The process:** Little transparency by the IT government on its position on the Commission's proposal; discussion held *behind closed doors*

- **Our coalition**

➔ Since 2025, MIRA Network and ECCO think tank have been running a coalition of CSOs, initially focused on the **green transition**, then expanded to other issues (social issues, Global Europe aspect)

➔ Now 15+ Italian CSOs, plus an even wider mailing list

➔ Regular meetings: sharing insights and updates, preparing joint actions

➔ Key contacts and allies: MEPs (some joined dedicated calls), Regional Authorities, Brussels-based coalitions, Italian Permanent Representation to the EU in Brussels

Joint outputs and next steps

- **Joint outputs**:
  - Policy recommendations: “Un bilancio europeo 2028-2034 per una transizione equa, solidale e globale” (March)
  - Advocacy letters to the government: “L’Italia non indebolisca il principio DNSH nel negoziato sul bilancio europeo” (July)
  - Joint press releases: “Bilancio UE: la nuova proposta della Presidenza del Consiglio UE taglia 30 miliardi di euro a clima e natura” (October)
  - Dissemination of EU-led actions: “Chiediamo garanzia di trasparenza nella redazione dei PPNR” (April)

Next steps: engagement on the **NRPPs**; in the process of defining a strategy to gain influence despite the lack of openness

MFF Mobilisation in Slovakia

Emília Farkašová

Stop Corruption Foundation

SLOVAKIA · NRPP 2028–2034 · STATE OF PLAY

Process set, content still open

€19.9 bn

Proposed envelope. Only ~€10.5 bn is freely programmable; the rest is tied to CAP payments, the Social Climate Fund and home affairs, or held in reserve

Min. 43% climate · 14% social · 10% rural

When	Milestone
Feb 2026	Process approved; plenipotentiary appointed
Jul 2026	156 reform proposals from 22 ministries
5–6 Nov 2026	8 thematic workshops in 2 days, round 1
Dec 2026	Round 2: prioritised measures
After municipal elections	Territorial priorities discussed
April 2027	Zero draft for talks with the Commission
by 31 Oct 2027	NRPP approved by the Slovak government

PARTNERSHIP IN PRACTICE

In the room, but not at the drafting table

Working Platform: 47% state administration · 18% social partners · 17% regions and towns · 13% civil society · 5% academia

What we asked

- 16 thematic subgroups (letter, 8 Oct)
- Small groups, up to 10 people
- 15 days to study documents

What MIRRI answered

- No subgroups for now
- Workshops planned in November of about 40 people, 4 hours each
- Seats for NGOs not yet decided
- Informally: perhaps 8 subgroups, nothing in writing

The gap

- MIRRI's own Feb plan promised partner groups per chapter
- Workshops consult; they don't co-draft
- Participation shown, not influence

EUROPEAN CODE OF CONDUCT ON PARTNERSHIP · ARTICLES 5 AND 6

The Partnership Code is law, but not practice

What the Code requires	What we see in Slovakia
Art. 5(1) Consult partners on the process and timetable; keep them fully informed	No systematic, agreed approach; on strategic priorities we feel back at point zero
Art. 5(2)(a–b) Timely information and enough time to analyse and comment	Some ministry proposals were headlines only; about a week to comment (Sep 2026)
Art. 5(2)(c–d) Channels to contribute, feedback on how input was used, results shared	Subgroups not granted; little feedback on what happened to our comments
Art. 6 Partners involved in preparing the plan, from the analysis of needs and disparities	Needs come from ministries' own lists; regions left out

Our ask: MIRRI applies the Code systematically, with partner groups and the regions from the start, and the Commission checks it.

https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/sk/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32014R0240

MFF Mobilisation in Ireland

Chris Mitchell

European Movement Ireland

European Movement Ireland: Connecting national civil society organisations to advocate for the MFF

For The Europe We Value

European Movement Ireland

2026 Presidency of the EU July–Dec

Direct connection

What have we done in 2026 to connect Irish civil society to MFF advocacy?

Civil Society Hub

Timely and detailed Blogs on key MFF updates

- In February 2026, we launched our ‘Civil Society Hub’; a dedicated webpage that signposts our civil society engagement events, provides explainers on the Irish Presidency of the Council of the EU, details how EU Institutions operate, and maps which Irish MEPs sit on which European Parliament Committees.
- The key feature of this website, however, is our Blog series. We post blogs on key MFF updates, such as where the negotiations are at for the overall MFF, AgoraEU, Global Europe, and how the Scrutiny Working Group poses threats for European and Irish civic space.



Press Release | More than 100 Irish Civil Society Organisations Call on EU Leaders to Reverse Proposed 30% Cut to Civil Society Funding in next EU Budget



The “Scrutiny Working Group on NGO Financing” in the European Parliament and shrinking civic space: What does this mean for civil society?

CSO Blog • By Sean Flynn • August 28, 2026



Global Europe – Key Updates

CSO Blog • By Sean Flynn • May 20, 2026

Global Europe Global Europe (or currently known as NDICI – Neighbourhood, Development and International Cooperation

European Movement Ireland | 2026 Presidency of the EU July–Dec

For The Europe
We Value

MEP’s – Irish Civil Society



- In June 2026, European Movement Ireland hosted a meeting with MEP Andrew’s team, bringing Oxfam, ActionAid Ireland, and Concern Worldwide, to directly advocate their recommendations for the Global Europe Instrument in the next MFF.
- We were able to receive direct feedback on all of the recommendations from the MEPs team, some of which he reflected in his work as Chair of the DEVE Committee.
- Other meetings included discussions with MEP Kelleher and MEP Carberry on the general MFF, and PEACEPLUS, respectively.

For The Europe We Value

The Irish Presidency's Negotiation Box

- We have connected our Brussels partners, such as Civil Society Europe, to Irish policymakers to discuss Civil Society's proposals for Own Resources in the MFF.
- Alongside 119 Irish Civil Society Organisations, we published a Joint Statement ahead of the 15-16 European Council Meeting, calling on them to reject the proposed 30% cuts to AgoraEU.
- See the statement here!



European Mosaic

Pan-European Project

- Led by European Movement International and the European Youth Forum, European Movement Ireland is currently delivering a work package in a project to connect EU citizens and civil society with their future.
- National Consultation on different strands of the MFF, including AgoraEU, which we will present to Irish policymakers, and the overall report is given to EU policymakers in early 2027.



For The Europe We Value

European Movement Ireland

2026 Presidency of the EU July–Dec

Culture Night – AgoraEU Advocacy

- Alongside Creative Europe Desk Ireland, we hosted ‘Europe Expressed: From Ireland to Europe through the Arts” on the 18th September 2026, as part of Culture Night across Irish towns and cities
- Showcased EU-funded artists,highlighting the importance of advocating for a stronger AgoraEU.



CERV engagement with Irish Civil Society

- As a key staple in AgoraEU, we have worked alongside Women's rights organisations to promote adequate funding and policy measures for CERV, in particular, Daphne, throughout this year.
- July 2026 – Provided a tailored 'EU Advocacy' training to the National Women's Council of Ireland, including how to engage with EU officials on Daphne.
- October 2026 – Hosted a 'Civil Society Forum' alongside MEP Funchion, Women's Aid, NWCI, and CyberSafeKids on 'Ending Violence Against Women and Girls on the Island of Ireland: The Role of Civil Society and the EU'.





Broader Work on connecting Irish Civil Society to the MFF

Policy Briefings





Citizen Roundtables

Speaking engagements





CSO Delegation to Brussels

MFF - current mobilisations at EU level

Valeria Linares Barron

Policy and Campaign Officer at Civil Society Europe

Open Letters your organisation can still sign

- **Programme: Agora EU** (Culture, Media, Journalism and Civil Society (former CERV).
  - Author: Future of Europe Cohort
  - Highlights: That is why **we call the governments to reject the disproportionate cuts to the programme proposed by the Irish Presidency**: for a saving of a mere 0.2% of the total budget, the damage to Europe's resilience would be exponential and potentially irreversible.
  - Read and sign the letter
- **Programme: The European Social Fund and the European Regional Development Fund**
  - Author: EU4SocialFundsCoalition
  - Highlights: The Coalition is calling on EU leaders to agree on a budget in line with the European Parliament's proposal and ensure that funds for regions and social investment receive the resources they need.
  - Read and sign the letter

MFF Overall size and New Own Resources - Template email

- **Feel free to adapt and translate according to your national context**

Dear **Mr./Ms. XXX,**

I hope you are doing well.

My name is **XXX** and I work for **XXX organisation**. I am reaching out to you in the context of the negotiations for the EU's 7-year budget, the Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF). Our organisation works in the field of **XXX** and stresses the importance of EU funding to make advances in this sector. **OPTIONAL Feel free to add more details about why your organisation cares about the Erasmus/AgoraEU/NRPP/Global Europe/ LIFE/ programme.** Therefore, the proposal of the Irish Presidency to cut the overall MFF budget by 8% is deeply concerning to us. We urge the government to consider New Own Resources as a source of revenue and avoid drastic cuts which will have a negative impact on the **XXX sector.**

In this light, I would like to share a new study conducted by independent European economists that explores six potential New Own Resources (in addition to those proposed by the Commission). The authors estimate that **these proposals could raise around €100 billion per year**. These Own Resources would be relatively easy to implement, generate genuinely new revenue (rather than redirecting funds from national capitals), and could cover around 35% of the EU's annual budget under the next MFF, compared with approximately 20% from the five New Own Resources proposed by the Commission. **These proposals therefore have the possibility to allow both the needed growth on the MFF budget, and to ensure that the GNI contribution remains stable, if not even lower, compared to the current MFF.**

These contributions are offered in the spirit of an open conversation. The options are both realistic and diverse, and they invite negotiators to consider a broader range of possibilities as they work towards an agreement.

OPTIONAL. If you have the capacity to request a meeting with your government add the following text: We would be willing to organise a meeting with you, together with other organisations working on **XXX sector**, to present the study on the new own resources and present our priorities for the next MFF

We kindly ask you to consider our priorities as a civil society organisation and protect the EU budget that makes them possible.

Kind regards,

Advocacy Tool for NRPPs

Ensuring Transparency in the drafting of the National and Regional Partnership Plans

Open Letter

Dear Minister of Finance,
Dear Minister of Energy, Climate and Environment,
Dear Minister of Employment and Social Affairs,
Dear Permanent Representatives,

While negotiations on the Multiannual Financial Framework are ongoing, Member States are already beginning preparatory work on their National and Regional Partnership Plans at the national level. This early phase offers an important opportunity to embed the partnership principle and genuine multilevel governance from the outset, through timely and meaningful engagement of local and regional authorities, civil society, energy communities, energy agencies, trade unions, social and economic partners.

The 53 undersigned organisations call on the European Commission and Member States to ensure transparent, structured, and inclusive National and Regional Partnership Plans (NRPPs)[1]. Strong implementation of the partnership principle and genuine multi-level governance can maximise the added value of EU funds through enabling more targeted,

No idea where your NRPP is at? (probably!)

Start with this open letter (can be found in the MFF Toolkit!)

Breakout Sessions per Region

- **Central Western Europe**
  - **MS: Austria, Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands**
  - Moderated by Caroline Francois-Marsal (RAC France)
- **Central Eastern Europe**
  - **MS: Bulgaria, Czechia, Hungary, Poland, Romania, Slovakia**
  - Moderated by Valeria Linares Barron (CSE)
- **Nordic & Baltic Europe**
  - **MS: Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Latvia, Lithuania, Estonia**
  - Moderated by Chris Vrettos (Rescoop)
- **Southern Europe**
  - **MS: Cyprus, Croatia, Greece, Italy, Malta, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain**
  - Moderated by Matteo Vespa (CSE)

Final Plenary Session

5 minute intervention by rapporteurs

Conclusion and Next Steps

Chris Vrettos

Senior Policy Advisor at REScoop.eu

THANK YOU!

civilsocietyeurope.eu / @EUCivilSociety (X) / Civil Society Europe (LinkedIn) / @eucivilsociety.bsky.social

This Project has been supported by Civitates, a collaborative initiative of the Network of European Foundations (NEF).

Co-funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). Neither the European Union nor EACEA can be held responsible for them.